I. Call to order: **President Dimock 7:03pm**

II. Roll Call

   **At Large Senators:**  
   *Present:* Davis, Frickel, Wozniak;  
   *Absent:* (none)

   **CBT Senators:**  
   *Present:* Agrawal, Amundson, Barry, Messersmith, Moore, T., Taylor, Trewin;  
   *Absent:* (none)

   **COE Senators:**  
   *Present:* Fredrickson, Kracl, Lewis, Mollenkopf, Moore, J.;  
   *Absent:* Kritzer, Montgomery;

   **CFAH Senators:**  
   *Present:* Beard, Beissel-Heath, Chavez, Dimock, Flood, White;  
   *Absent:* Burbal, Fronczak,

   **CNSS Senators:**  
   *Present:* Benz, Biggs, Campbell, Carlson, Darveau, Ericson, Miller, Trantham;  
   *Absent:* Benz, Lilly;

   **Library Senator:**  
   *Absent:* Mueller

III. Approval of Agenda:

   **Biggs (Darveau) Moved approval. Motion passed.**

IV. Action on Faculty Senate Minutes of **28 April 2011**

   **Biggs (Darveau) Moved approval. Motion passed.**

V. Special Presentation

   **A. Cheryl Bressington**

   Ockinga has been remodeled to be a hearing assisted room. Ms. Bressington demonstrated the proper operation of the sound system. All senators, when speaking, must utilize one of the available microphones. Senator Chavez volunteered to turn off the system and microphones after every meeting.

VI. Reports of Faculty Senate Standing Committees

   **A. Oversight Committee:**

   1) Senator Trewin presented a slate of open positions on university committees where members come from the senate or are to be voted on by the senate. Nominations are requested for these positions. These should be emailed to Senator Trewin so that we may vote on them at the regular October Faculty Senate meeting.  
   2) President Dimock also announced that the senate needed to provide a nominee for the Enrollment Management Council.  
   3) Senator Miller inquired about
senate representatives to CTE and Assessment Committee. Senator Trewin indicated that these are not committees that are explicitly filled by Faculty Senate oversight. This falls under the responsibility of the Faculty Senate President. 4) Senators Miller and Darveau reminded the senate body that the chair of Academic Affairs must be a Faculty Senator, not necessarily the “Faculty Senate Representative” to Academic Affairs.

B. Executive Committee:
C. President’s Report: 01 September 2011
1) President Dimock indicated that one off the most significant items in the report is the Board of Regents proposed 120 credit hour limit on baccalaureate degrees.  2) Senator Lewis inquired about Dean Taylor’s report indicating that some departments receive the full amount of tuition differential while other departments only receive a portion. President Dimock explained that for departments that have their full program online their portion of the revenue is based on the projection of enrollments while programs that only have a portion of their degree program online only receive a portion based on actual enrollment. 3) Senator Fredrickson inquired about the amount UNK pays to Online World Wide. An answer to this was not available during the meeting, however it was suggested that one could inquire with Gloria Vavricka, Director of eCampus.

President Dimock asked for nominees for Assessment Committee, CTE, and Enrollment Management Council. Nominees can be anyone from campus, not necessarily senators, but selected/approved by Faculty Senate. Senator J. Moore volunteered to serve on the CTE committee.

D. Academic Affairs:
1) President Dimock indicated that there was business from last year which needed to be addressed. This was the establishment of an ad hoc committee to examine the powers invested in academic affairs. Academic Affairs’ (AA) explicit job is to ensure that there is no course duplication across campus. Two large issues which need to be examined: 1) programs that go over their allotted credit hours and 2) issues of quality. President Dimock indicated that SVCASA Bicak would be comfortable allowing AA to evaluate and judge program credit hours, but would not be comfortable giving AA the authority to judge program or course quality. Issues of quality should be evaluated by Academic Program Reviews, certifications, etc.

Miller (Fredrickson) Moved to direct Academic Affairs to address matters related to credit hour limitations. Discussion: AA is being explicitly directed to judge credit hours in a program. The importance of this directive was emphasized in light of the impending 120 cr-hr cap on four year programs; AA will be need hear cases where some programs will need to go over this limit. At the Board of Regents level, there will be an exemption process implemented whereby the University can make a case for certain programs to exceed this limit. Motion passes.

Davis (Chavez) moves to rescind the motion creating the ad hoc committee charged with reviewing the charge to the Academic Affairs Committee (April 28, 2011 Faculty Senate Minutes). Motion passes.

E. Academic Freedom and Tenure Committee:
F. Academic Information and Technology Committee:
Senator Trantham provided a corrected set of minutes. Instead of what was distributed in the agenda, the minutes should read:

Minutes Professional Conduct Committee Meeting

Monday May 9, 2011

Present: Carol Lilly, NSS; Linda Crowe, COE; Ken Trantham, NSS (Chair); Steve Hall, CBT; Dawn Mollencopf, Faculty Senate; Linda Clark, Staff Senate; Mary Daake, Staff Senate

The professional conduct committee met to consider a complaint filed with the committee. The primary complaint centers around one faculty member (the respondent) withholding data and/or information relevant to another faculty member's scholarship (the complainant). A secondary complaint alleges a conflict of interest on the part of the respondent. As guided by policy, the committee met within seven days of receiving the complaint to determine if they fall within the jurisdiction of the Committee, and whether or not the complaints are sufficient to warrant formal proceedings before the Committee. On the primary complaint, the committee decided that the respondent's actions or inactions did not rise to the level of professional misconduct as outline in section 2 of our governing document and that there was insufficient evidence to proceed further with the secondary complaint. The complaint was therefore returned to the complainant.

N. Student Affairs Committee:

VII. Reports of Senate Representatives to Non-Senate Committees

A. Assessment Committee:
B. Affirmative Action Commission:
C. Center for Teaching Excellence Advisory Committee:
D. Council of Chairs:
E. Ethnic Studies Advisory Committee:
F. Fees Committee:
G. First Year Advisory Council:
H. Gender Equity Committee:
I. Honors Council:
J. International Studies Advisory Council: 26 April 2011

K. Parking:
L. Student Retention Committee:

M. Safety Committee:

N. Strategic Planning:

O. Student Support Services Advisory Committee:

P. Academic Information Technology Advisory Committee: 08 April 2011

There was discussion regarding wireless connectivity issues, particularly in the student union. Also, concerns were voiced regarding timely data alignment between Blackboard sections and official registrar enrollments. It was suggested that the AIT committee consider these issues.

Q. Women's Studies Advisory Committee:

R. WI/CD Committee:

Senator Darveau enquired about the status of WI recommendations from this Committee. Senator Amundson indicated that this will be considered in September.

S. Writing Center Advisory Committee:

VIII. Reports from Academic Councils

A. Graduate Council

B. General Studies Council: 07 April 2011, 28 April 2011

1) President Dimock asked for Senate input regarding the use and requirement of TaskStream in General Studies (GS) classes. It was reported that there is a policy in development that TaskStream will eventually be required in every GS class. The College of Education and CTE director Biggs reports good, useful experience using TaskStream. This may be a better repository than Blackboard (Bb) to hold and longitudinally track assessment information. There was some concern raised about the process in which GS chose TaskStream. The student cost of TaskStream subscriptions, since this is a required product, should be considered by AIT to be folded into student-fees. Another concern regarding the TaskStream vendor is long term stability of data.

2) Senator Wozniak noted that this and previous discussions indicated that there was sufficient cause for AIT to be proactive in interfacing with IT to solve these issues. It was suggested that AIT poll the faculty for issues and develop a plan of action.

C. Council on Undergraduate Education:

IX. Unfinished Business

A.

B.

X. New Business

A. Senator Carlson asks that Senate place a faculty senator on the Student Enrollment Taskforce formed by SVCASA Bicak and Dean Oravecz. There appears to be poor alignment between what is being discussed in committee meetings and what is being implemented. Senator Dimock indicated that he would talk to SVCASA Bicak and Dean Oravecz about this.
B. Senator Flood noted that many international students, such as those from Korea, are arriving at UNK with no class schedules. Since these students are arriving late in the enrollment process on very short notice, they may not be able to get into classes required for their intended program. This is a disservice to the students and does not reflect positively upon UNK. The Faculty Senate Executive Council was asked to investigate this.

XI. General Faculty Comments

Chartwells in the Fine Arts building for a time was not going to accept cash. This has been resolved. However, there was no communication to the campus about this policy change.

There is a question pending about the Ergonomics study: what was the cost and implications?

*Announcement:* Sept 20, 11:30am-1pm; SVCASA Bicak will speak at the first CTE luncheon regarding the 120 cr-hr degree

*Announcement:* UNKEA membership drive. Watch your email for details.

XII. Adjournment

*Darveau (Amundson) move to adjourn.* Motion passed 8:22pm.