Past President Kay Hodge chaired the Senate meeting in the absence of President Bill Wozniak. Senator Roger Davis served as Secretary in the absence of Secretary Annabell Zikmund.

1. President Hodge called the Senate to order at 7:00 PM.
2. Burlingame/Obermier moved to suspend the agenda to move forward the Final Report of the Ad Hoc Academic Advising Committee.
   
   Motion passed unanimously.

3. Ad Hoc Academic Advising Committee Final Report

   The majority of the evening was taken up with a review and vote on the nine recommendations of the Ad Hoc Academic Advising Committee Final Report which had been presented at the previous Faculty Senate meeting.

   Bob Young and Mary Daake presented the recommendations and they and Kim Schipporeit fielded questions in the process of the voting. The recommendations were discussed in two groups: I, II, III, and V in one group, then IV, VI, VII, VIII, IX in the second group. Each recommendation was voted upon separately, as follows:

   - Recommendation I: Mission of UNK Academic Advising Program.
     
     Language of a proposed mission statement for the Academic Advising Program highlighting life and career goals.
     
     Scott/Volpe moved approval.
     
     Motion to approve passed unanimously.

   - Recommendation II: Purposes/Goals of the UNK Academic Advising Program.
     To incorporate Developmental Advising as a core concept in academic advising.
Tracy/Damon moved approval.

Discussion centered around definition of Developmental Advising. Bob Young explained that this advising goes beyond basics of curriculum check lists and flags to emphasize academic advisors also discuss career choices, life choices, broader context of advising. Mary Daake indicated many advisors probably doing this already.

Motion to approve passed unanimously.

  That appropriate advising strategies and training be designed to meet needs of diverse student groups and keep updated advisor/advisee responsibilities.

  Hoehner/Unruh moved approval.

  Discussion on the question of who would design strategies. Daake and Young indicated it would be a diverse response. Some developed in Advising Center others within each department.

  Motion to approve passed unanimously.

- Recommendation V: Teachers and Advising.
  That UNK must formally acknowledge that academic advising is a significant, integral part of teaching and allow for this recognition in promotion and tenure portfolios, and reward faculty for excellence in advising.

  Boeckl/Hartman moved approval.

  Discussion centered on issue of advising as teaching. Majority of opinion concluded advising is a Service function.

  Damon/Brown moved to amend recommendation by replacing "teaching" with the terms "educational responsibilities" so that the revised language would read: "UNK must formally acknowledge that academic advising is a significant, integral part of educational responsibilities and specifically recognize its importance in annual faculty performance evaluations, in promotion and tenure decisions, and with tangible rewards for excellence in advising."

  Motion to approve amendment to change language passed unanimously.

  Motion to approve Recommendation V as amended passed unanimously.

- Recommendation IV: Required Advising for Undergraduate Students.
  That all undergraduates, Freshman through Seniors, be required to meet with their
advisors at least once a semester.

Bridges/Obermier moved approval.

Discussion focused upon increased volume of advising, time required. Goal is to build better relationships with students, fewer problems by graduation.

Motion to approve passed unanimously.

- Recommendation VI: Teaching and Advising.
  Faculty time dedicated to teaching and other activities including advising, should not be interrupted for other institutional priorities, specifically to be "on call" academic recruiters.

  Scott/Obermier move to strike Recommendation VI.

  Discussion noted that faculty are doing more in area of recruitment, including recruitment function for prospective students. Question is whether this is at a qualitative cost to enrolled UNK students. Majority opinion that recruitment essential in all aspects and particulars of that duty are a department prerogative.

  Motion to strike Recommendation VI approved unanimously.

- Recommendation VII: Selection and Training of Advisors.
  Departmental resources, formal training, and reassigned time must be provided for those faculty selected to be academic advisors.

  Bridges/Tracy moved approval.

  Discussion centered around department autonomy to determine advising approach, designation of who advises, and resources. Also question of evaluation of quality of advising of advisors. Young referenced the Master Advisor Program at Southwestern Missouri State, and the Marymount College assessment of advising documents, which append the report.

  Vote on motion to approve by show of hands: Yes – 7; No – 4

  Motion to approve carried on majority vote of those voting.

- Recommendation VIII: Assessment of UNK Academic Advising Program.
  UNK, colleges, departments must systematically review and assess the academic advising programs.

  Boeckl/Kruse moved approval.
Discussion centered on concern to keep assessment of individual advising separate from assessment of advising program.

Damon/Scott move to amend the first sentence of Recommendation VIII, section b. with insertion of the word "evaluate" before department advisors. With this insertion the sentence would read: "b. Colleges/Departments must periodically review/assess departmental advising programs and evaluate departmental advisors to measure departmental effectiveness in student retention/success."

Vote on motion to insert word evaluate: Yes – 7; No – 3

Motion to insert word carried on vote of majority of those voting.

Hartman/Tracy moved to replace the words "student retention/success" with words "student advising." With this change the section would then read: "b. Colleges/Departments must periodically review/assess departmental advising programs and evaluate departmental advisors to measure departmental effectiveness in student advising."

Motion to change wording passed unanimously.

Motion to approve Recommendation VIII as amended passed unanimously.

- Recommendation IX. Implementing and Monitoring the UNK Academic Advising Program. That this responsibility rests with the office of Senior Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs.
  
  Bridges/Lillis moved approval.
  
  Discussion was slight. General agreement the SrVCAA should monitor.
  
  Motion to approve passed unanimously.

4. Committee Reports:

No reports or nothing commented upon for most committees. Those committee reports which were noteworthy were:

- Gender Equity Committee: It was noted that this committee will be writing up its annual report, which is due to the Regents in June. Anyone who wishes to direct comments or concerns should send them to committee member Jane Ziebarth at x8815.

- FS Executive Committee meeting with Chancellor: It was noted that the Committee informed the Chancellor of some faculty reports that they have been displaced from their classrooms without warning. The Chancellor agreed that this
should not be happening and will fix the problem.

- **Athletic Committee:** Senator Unruh reported that the committee had discussed the ongoing search for a new Athletic Director and it is the desire of the committee to have the new AD be appointed by the new Chancellor.

  Unruh/Moorman moved that the Faculty Senate support the proposition that the new Athletic Director be appointed by the new Chancellor.

  Scott/Bridges moved a friendly amendment to amend the motion as follows: Be it resolved, that the Faculty Senate supports the proposition that the new Athletic Director should be appointed by the new Chancellor in order to facilitate a constructive and effective relationship between the new AD, and the new Chancellor.

  Motion to approve passed unanimously.

- **Assessment Committee:** Liz Peck noted that Barbara Clark had resigned from the committee and has been replaced by Lynne Jackowiak from Communications Disorders department.

5. **General Comments:**

- Senator Hodge noted that President/Director of General Studies (DOGS) Wozniak would like the Senators to review the proposed revisions to the General Studies governance document (outlined in the GS Council minutes of March 7) and send any comments to him.

- Senator Hodge noted that the interviews with the Chancellor candidates are coming up and encouraged Senators to attend and participate.

- Nominations are now being solicited for the Hamster Wheel distinction. Please send any nominations to President Wozniak via email.

- Senator Lillis noted that Library has circulated a satisfaction survey to the Faculty and he encouraged all faculty to respond to the survey.

6. **Unruh/Hoehner moved to adjourn.**

  Motion passed unanimously.

Senate adjourned at 8:54 PM.

Respectfully submitted
Senator Roger Davis, interim Secretary.